

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,611	07/18/2003	Yoichi Momose	116623	2899	
25944 75	90 06/01/2005		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			KIM, RICHARD H		
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA	· -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAILED ACIDIDAD	DATE MAIL ED. 06/01/2005	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Au			
	Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
		621,611	MOMOSE, YOICH	ı			
Office Action Summa	Exa	miner	Art Unit				
	Rich	nard H. Kim	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	ommunication appears (on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	lress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less tha - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In this communication. In thirty (30) days, a reply within a minum statutory period will apply to reply will, by statute, cause a months after the mailing date of	n no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of thi y and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this con NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in co	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the	practice under Ex par	te Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending	in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn fro	m consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	l.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte	d to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or elec	tion requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to	o by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is obje	ected to by the Examine	er. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO	D-152 .			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Non 1. Certified copies of the p 2. Certified copies of the p 3. Copies of the certified of application from the Int	e of: priority documents have priority documents have copies of the priority do	e been received. e been received in A ocuments have beer		Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
American (a)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗆 Intension	Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of References Cited (F10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R	eview (PTO-948)	_ Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-			Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/3/05</u> .		6)	 ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/621,611

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (US 6,013,339) in view of Watanabe et al. (US 6,882,398 B2).

Referring to claim 1, 6, 7, 10 and 11, Yamada et al. discloses an electronic device comprising a pair of substrates (Fig. 5, ref. 12a, 12b); a liquid crystal layer provided between the pair of substrates (13); and a sealing material bonding the pair of substrates to each other and enclosing the liquid crystal layer between the pair of substrate (3); the sealing material containing a photocurable component and a thermosetting component (col. 10, lines 25-27), the photocurable component having a curing rate in the range of from 60% to 95% (col. 16, lines 2-3), and the thermosetting component having a curing rate in the rage of from 60%-90% (col. 16, lines 5-6). Yamada et al. further discloses a method of manufacturing comprising applying an adhesive onto at least one of surface of the pair of substrates to form a closed shape in a region of the surface thereof (Fig. 8, ref. 3); disposing spacers on at least one of the surfaces of the pair of substrates (2); dripping liquid crystal onto at least one of the surfaces of the pair of substrates after the adhesive and spacers are disposed (13), bonding the pair of substrate to each other after the liquid crystal is dripped (col. 19, lines 19-23); and curing the adhesive after the bonding is formed, the adhesive being an uncured material which is formed to a sealing material by curing

Application/Control Number: 10/621,611

Art Unit: 2871

(col. 16, lines 10-15). Furthermore, Yamada et al. discloses the device wherein the liquid crystal is injected through a liquid crystal inlet (Fig. 2, ref. 9). However, the reference does not disclose that the *maximum* curing rate is in the rate of from 60% - 95%.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the maximum curing rate to be from 60% - 90% since Yamada et al. discloses that the proper curing rate prevents the generation of defect goods in production due to alignment dislocation, and therefore improves production efficiency. Therefore, an artisan having ordinary skill in the art would have known to determine the optimum curing rate of the respective material in order to achieve excellent production efficiency (col. 16, lines 1-15).

Furthermore, Yamada et al. does not disclose a member disposed at a position corresponding to the sealing material, the member at least partially blocking a ultraviolet rays so that the photocurable component at portions of the sealing material that correspond to the member has a curing rate of less than 60% wherein the member is one of a color filter or a metal wire.

Watanabe et al. disclose a member disposed at a position corresponding to the sealing material, the member at least partially blocking an ultraviolet rays, wherein the ember is a metal wire (Fig. 12, ref. 601).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a metal wire member disposed at a position corresponding to the sealing material, the member at least partially blocking ultraviolet rays since one would be motivated to block or shield external light into the display area (see col. 2, lines 10-13). Furthermore, determining the range of the curing rate when a light-blocking member is disposed

Art Unit: 2871

represents a problem to be solved and is not critical to the success of the invention. Applicant does not disclose that the limitation provides an added advantage or solves a stated problem.

Therefore, whether the light blocking member is employed or not, the invention would have been at least equally successful in achieving excellent production efficiency.

Referring to claims 2 and 3, Yamada et al. discloses a device wherein the sealing material includes a resin containing the photocurable component, a resin containing the photocurable component, a resin containing the thermosetting component, and a resin containing the photocurable component and the thermosetting component is the same molecular chain (col. 16, lines 28-67; col. 17, lines 1-10).

Referring to claim 4, Yamada et al. discloses the device wherein the photocurable component includes at least one of an acrylic group and a methacrylic group (col. 16, lines 28-37).

Referring to claim 5, Yamada et al. discloses that the thermosetting component includes an epoxy group (col. 4, lines 39-40).

Referring to claim 8, Yamada et al. discloses the method previously recited. Yamada et al. further discloses that the curing of the adhesive includes a light irradiation substep of curing the photocurable component (col. 16, lines 10-12), and the amount of light irradiation is 1000 to 6000 mJ/cm² (co. 17, lines 35-36).

Referring to claim 9, Yamada et al. disclose the method previously recited, and further discloses that the curing of the adhesive includes a heating substep of curing the thermosetting component. However, the reference does not disclose that the heating temperature and the

Application/Control Number: 10/621,611

Art Unit: 2871

1

heating time in the heating substep being set to 60 to 160 degrees Celsius and 20 to 300 minutes, respectively.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the heating time in the heating substep being to be set to 60 to 160 degrees Celsius and 20 to 300 minutes, respectively since the time and temperature in which to efficiently cure the adhesive is a result effective variable. Determining the optimum time and temperature to cure the adhesive would result in efficient curing.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2871

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard H. Kim whose telephone number is (571)272-2294. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-6:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on (571)272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard H Kim Examiner Art Unit 2871

RHK

TARIFUR R. CHOWDHURY PRIMARY EXAMINER